SINGLE BENCH

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT BILASPUR, (C.G.)

W.P. No. 5370/2005

PETITIONER : Ram Kesh Gond, S/o Purnamasi Gond, aged about 46 years, "Lecturer in English", Govt. Higher Secondary School, Urba, P.S. Tamnar, Tahsil-Gharghora, Dist. Raigarh, (C.G.).

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh.
2. Secratary of shedule caste & shedule tribe Development Department of C.G. Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.).
3. Director of S.C. & S.T. Dev. Dept. of C.G., Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University Campus, Raipur, (C.G.).
4. Collector, (A.J.K.)(i.e. Adim Jati Kalyan Shakha), Collectorate Building, Raigarh, (C.G.).
5. Principal, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Urba, Block-Tamnar, Dist.-Raigarh (C.G.), Pin-496107.
6. Principal, Model Govt. Higher Secondary school, Jashpur, (C.G.).
7. Bharatlal Yadav,"Lecturer", Model Govt. Higher Secondary School, Jashpur, (C.G.).

P.R. No. 5015/05
Received on 26/9/05
Smt. S. Sarkar

RECEIVED-COPY
26/9/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS OF CERTIORARY & MANDAMUS & FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS & DIRECTIONS

(65)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.5370 OF 2005

Petitioner : Ram Kesh Gond

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. F.S.Khare, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 to 6.
None appears for respondent No.7.

ORDER
(Passed on 27th Day of February, 2007)

The petitioner while working as Lecturer in Government Higher Secondary School, Urba, Block Tamnar, District Raigarh has been transferred to Model Government Higher Secondary School, Jashpur, vide order dated 05-09-2005 (P-1). The petitioner impugns the transfer order dated 05-09-2005 (P-1).

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 28-10-2005, directed that the status quo, as was existing on that day, shall be maintained by the parties, till the next date of hearing.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 6 has no objection.

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal